

# **Graphs in Machine Learning**

#### Michal Valko

Inria Lille - Nord Europe, France

Partially based on material by: Ulrike von Luxburg, Gary Miller, Doyle & Schnell, Daniel Spielman

October 5, 2015

MVA 2015/2016

### **Previous Lecture**

- where do the graphs come from?
  - social, information, utility, and biological networks
  - we create them from the flat data
  - random graph models
- specific applications and concepts
  - maximizing influence on a graph gossip propagation, submodularity
  - google pagerank random surfer process, steady state vector, sparsity
  - online semi-supervised learning label propagation, backbone graph, online learning, combinatorial sparsification, stability analysis
  - Erdős number project heavy tails, small world



#### **This Lecture**

similarity graphs

- different types
- construction
- practical considerations
- spectral graph theory
- Laplacians and their properties
- random walks



### Piazza for Q & A's



#### Purpose

- registration for the class
- online course discussions and announcements
- questions and answers about the material and logistics
- students encouraged to answer each others' questions
- homework assignments
- virtual machine link and instructions
- draft of the slides before the class

https://piazza.com/ens\_cachan/fall2015/mvagraphsml

class code given during the class



# Graph theory refresher



Ínría

Michal Valko – Graphs in Machine Learning

# Graph theory refresher





# Graph theory refresher

- 250 years of graph theory
- Seven Bridges of Königsberg (Leonhard Euler, 1735)
- necessary for Eulerian circuit: 0 or 2 nodes of odd degree
- after bombing and rebuilding there are now 5 bridges in Kaliningrad for the nodes with degrees [2, 2, 3, 3]
- the original problem is solved but not practical http://people.engr.ncsu.edu/mfms/SevenBridges/



# **Similarity Graphs**

Input:  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ 

- raw data
- flat data
- vectorial data





# **Similarity Graphs**

Similarity graph: G = (V, E) - (un)weighted

Task 1: For each pair *i*, *j*: define a **similarity function**  $s_{ij}$ Task 2: Decide which edges to include

 $\varepsilon\text{-neighborhood graphs}$  – connect the points with the distances smaller than  $\varepsilon$ 

*k*-NN neighborhood graphs – take *k* nearest neighbors fully connected graphs – consider everything

This is art (not much theory exists).

http://www.informatik.uni-hamburg.de/ML/contents/people/luxburg/
publications/Luxburg07\_tutorial.pdf



# Similarity Graphs: *c*-neighborhood graphs

Edges connect the points with the distances smaller than  $\varepsilon$ .

- distances are roughly on the same scale ( $\varepsilon$ )
- $\blacktriangleright$  weights may not bring additional info  $\rightarrow$  unweighted
- equivalent to: similarity function is at least  $\varepsilon$
- ► theory [Penrose, 1999]: ε = ((log n)/n)<sup>d</sup> to guarantee connectivity n nodes, d dimension
- ▶ practice: choose ε as the length of the longest edge in the MST - minimum spanning tree

What could be the problem with this MST approach?



# Similarity Graphs: *k*-nearest neighbors graphs

Edges connect each node to its *k*-nearest neighbors.

- asymmetric (or directed graph)
  - option OR: ignore the direction
  - option AND: include if we have both direction (mutual k-NN)

#### how to choose k?

- $k \approx \log n$  suggested by asymptotics (practice: up to  $\sqrt{n}$ )
- ▶ for mutual *k*-NN we need to take larger *k*
- mutual k-NN does not connect regions with different density
- why don't we take k = n 1?



# Similarity Graphs: Fully connected graphs

Edges connect everything.

- choose a "meaningful" similarity function s
- default choice:

$$s_{ij} = \exp\left(\frac{-\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

- why the exponential decay with the distance?
- $\blacktriangleright~\sigma$  controls the width of the neighborhoods
  - similar role as  $\varepsilon$
  - ▶ a practical rule of thumb: 10% of the average empirical std
  - possibility: learn  $\sigma_i$  for each feature independently
- metric learning (a whole field of ML)



# Similarity Graphs: Important considerations

- calculate all  $s_{ij}$  and threshold has its limits ( $n \approx 10000$ )
- graph construction step can be a huge bottleneck
- want to go higher? (we often have to)
  - down-sample
  - approximate NN
    - LSH Locally Sensitive Hashing
    - CoverTrees
  - sometime we may not need the graph (just the final results)
  - yet another story: when we start with a large graph and want to make it sparse (later in the course)
- these rules have little theoretical underpinning
- similarity is very data-dependent



# Similarity Graphs: $\varepsilon$ or k-NN?

#### **DEMO IN CLASS**



http://www.ml.uni-saarland.de/code/GraphDemo/DemoSpectralClustering.htm
http://www.informatik.uni-hamburg.de/ML/contents/people/luxburg/
publications/Luxburg07\_tutorial.pdf



Michal Valko - Graphs in Machine Learning

#### **Generic Similarity Functions**

Gaussian similarity function/Heat function/RBF:

$$s_{ij} = \exp\left(rac{-\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2}{2\sigma^2}
ight)$$

Cosine similarity function:

$$s_{ij} = \cos( heta) = \left(rac{\mathbf{x}_i^{\intercal} \mathbf{x}_j}{\|\mathbf{x}_i\| \|\mathbf{x}_j\|}
ight)$$

Typical Kernels



# **Similarity Graphs**



G = (V, E) - with a set of **nodes** V and a set of **edges** E



#### **Sources of Real Networks**

- http://snap.stanford.edu/data/
- http://www-personal.umich.edu/~mejn/netdata/
- http://proj.ise.bgu.ac.il/sns/datasets.html
- http://www.cise.ufl.edu/research/sparse/matrices/
- http://vlado.fmf.uni-lj.si/pub/networks/data/ default.htm

ría.

# **Eigenwerte und Eigenvektoren**

A vector **v** is an **eigenvector** of matrix **M** of **eigenvalue**  $\lambda$ 

 $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}.$ 

If  $(\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}_1)$  are  $(\lambda_2, \mathbf{v}_2)$  eigenpairs for symmetric **M** with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  then  $\mathbf{v}_1 \perp \mathbf{v}_2$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{v}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v}_2 = 0$ .

If  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v}_1)$ ,  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v}_2)$  are eigenpairs for **M** then  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2)$  is as well.

For symmetric **M**, the **multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is the dimension of the space of eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

Every  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix has n eigenvalues (w/ multiplicities).



### Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors, and Eigendecomposition

A vector **v** is an **eigenvector** of matrix **M** of **eigenvalue**  $\lambda$ 

 $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}.$ 

Vectors  $\{\mathbf{v}_i\}_i$  form an **orthonormal** basis with  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \lambda_n$ .

$$\forall i \quad \mathbf{M}\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \qquad \equiv \qquad \mathbf{M}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Lambda}$$

**Q** has eigenvectors in columns and  $\Lambda$  has eigenvalues on its diagonal.

Right-multiplying  $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{\Lambda}$  by  $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}$  we get the **eigendecomposition** of **M**:

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} \Leftarrow \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}$$



# **Graph Laplacian**

G = (V, E) - with a set of **nodes** V and a set of **edges** E





### **Properties of Graph Laplacian**

**Graph function**: a vector  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  assigning values to nodes:

 $\mathbf{f}: V(G) \to \mathbb{R}.$ 

$$\mathbf{f}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j\leq n} w_{i,j}(f_i - f_j)^2 = S_G(\mathbf{f})$$

# **Properties of Graph Laplacian**

We assume **non-negative weights**:  $w_{ij} \ge 0$ .

 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{L}}$  is symmetric

**L** positive semi-definite 
$$\leftarrow \mathbf{f}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \leq n} w_{i,j} (f_i - f_j)^2$$

Recall: If  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{f} = \lambda \mathbf{f}$  then  $\lambda$  is an **eigenvalue**.

The smallest eigenvalue of **L** is 0. Corresponding eigenvector:  $\mathbf{1}_n$ .

All eigenvalues are non-negative reals  $0 = \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ .

Self-edges do not change the value of L.

# **Properties of Graph Laplacian**

The multiplicity of eigenvalue 0 of L equals to the number of connected components. The eigenspace of 0 is spanned by the components' indicators.

Proof: If  $(0, \mathbf{f})$  is an eigenpair then  $0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \le n} w_{i,j} (f_i - f_j)^2$ . Therefore, **f** is constant on each connected component. If there are k components, then **L** is k-block-diagonal:

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_1 & & \\ & \mathbf{L}_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \mathbf{L}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

For block-diagonal matrices: the spectrum is the union of the spectra of  $L_i$  (eigenvectors of  $L_i$  padded with zeros elsewhere).

For  $\mathbf{L}_i$   $(0, \mathbf{1}_{|V_i|})$  is an eigenpair, hence the claim.

# **Smoothness of the Function and Laplacian**

- $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)^{\mathsf{T}}$ : graph function
- Let  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}$  be the eigendecomposition of the Laplacian.
  - Diagonal matrix Λ whose diagonal entries are eigenvalues of L.
  - Columns of Q are eigenvectors of L.
  - Columns of **Q** form a basis.
- $\alpha$ : Unique vector such that  $\mathbf{Q}\alpha = \mathbf{f}$  Note:  $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{f} = \alpha$

Smoothness of a graph function  $S_G(\mathbf{f})$ 

$$S_G(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{f}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{f} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \alpha_i^2$$

Smoothness and regularization: Small value of

(a)  $S_G(\mathbf{f})$  (b)  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  norm of  $\mathbf{\alpha}^*$  (c)  $\alpha_i^*$  for large  $\lambda_i$ 



# **Smoothness of the Function and Laplacian**

$$S_G(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{f}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{f} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \alpha_i^2$$

Eigenvectors are graph functions too!

What is the smoothness of an eigenvector?

Spectral coordinate of eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_k$ :  $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{e}_k$ 

$$S_G(\mathbf{v}_k) = \mathbf{v}_k^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{L} \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{v}_k^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{Q} \mathsf{A} \mathsf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{v}_k = \mathbf{e}_k^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{A} \mathbf{e}_k = \|\mathbf{e}_k\|_{\mathsf{A}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\mathbf{e}_k)_i^2 = \lambda_k$$

The smoothness of k-th eigenvector is the k-th eigenvalue.



# Laplacian of the Complete Graph $K_n$

What is the eigenspectrum of  $L_{\kappa_n}$ ?



$$\mathbf{L}_{K_n} = \begin{pmatrix} n-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & n-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & n-1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & n-1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & n-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

From before: we know that  $(0, \mathbf{1}_n)$  is an eigenpair.

If  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}_n$  and  $\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{1}_n \implies \sum_i \mathbf{v}_i = 0$ . To get the other eigenvalues, we compute  $(\mathbf{L}_{K_n} \mathbf{v})_1$  and divide by  $\mathbf{v}_1$  (wlog  $\mathbf{v}_1 \neq 0$ ).  $(\mathbf{L}_{K_n} \mathbf{v})_1 = (n-1)\mathbf{v}_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n \mathbf{v}_i = n\mathbf{v}_1$ .

What are the remaining eigenvalues/vectors?



#### **Normalized Laplacians**

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L}_{un} &= \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{W} \\ \mathbf{L}_{sym} &= \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{D}^{-1/2} \\ \mathbf{L}_{rw} &= \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{W} \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{f}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{L}_{sym}\mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j\leq n} w_{i,j} \left(\frac{f_i}{\sqrt{d_i}} - \frac{f_j}{\sqrt{d_j}}\right)^2$$

 $(\lambda, \mathbf{u})$  is an eigenpair for  $\mathbf{L}_{rw}$  iff  $(\lambda, \mathbf{D}^{1/2}\mathbf{u})$  is an eigenpair for  $\mathbf{L}_{sym}$ 



# **Normalized Laplacians**

 $L_{sym}$  and  $L_{rw}$  are PSD with non-negative real eigenvalues  $0 = \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 \le \dots \le \lambda_n$ 

 $(\lambda, \mathbf{u})$  is an eigenpair for  $\mathbf{L}_{rw}$  iff  $(\lambda, \mathbf{u})$  solve the generalized eigenproblem  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{u} = \lambda \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}$ .

 $(0, \mathbf{1}_n)$  is an eigenpair for  $\mathbf{L}_{rw}$ .

 $(0, \mathbf{D}^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_n)$  is an eigenpair for  $\mathbf{L}_{sym}$ .

Multiplicity of eigenvalue 0 of  $L_{rw}$  or  $L_{sym}$  equals to the number of connected components.

# Laplacian and Random Walks on Undirected Graphs

- stochastic process: vertex-to-vertex jumping
- transition probability  $v_i \rightarrow v_j$  is  $p_{ij} = w_{ij}/d_i$

• 
$$d_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_j w_{ij}$$

- ► transition matrix  $\mathbf{P} = (p_{ij})_{ij} = \mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{W}$  (notice  $\mathbf{L}_{rw} = \mathbf{I} \mathbf{P}$ )
- if G is connected and non-bipartite → unique stationary distribution π = (π<sub>1</sub>, π<sub>2</sub>, π<sub>3</sub>,..., π<sub>n</sub>) where π<sub>i</sub> = d<sub>i</sub>/vol(V)
   vol(G) = vol(V) = vol(W) <sup>def</sup> = ∑<sub>i</sub> d<sub>i</sub> = ∑<sub>i,j</sub> w<sub>ij</sub>

• 
$$\pi = \frac{\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{W}}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{W})}$$
 verifies  $\pi \mathbf{P} = \pi$  as:  

$$\pi \mathbf{P} = \frac{\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{P}}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{W})} = \frac{\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{P}}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{W})} = \frac{\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{W}}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{W})} = \frac{\mathbf{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{W}}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathbf{W})} = \pi$$

SequeL – Inria Lille

MVA 2015/2016

*Michal Valko* michal.valko@inria.fr sequel.lille.inria.fr