# Conditional Anomaly Detection with Adaptive Similarity Metric Michal Valko

Advisor: Miloš Hauskrecht Joint work with: Gregory Cooper, Amy Seybert, Shyam Visweswaram, Melissa Saul, James Harrison, Andrew Post

Funded by the National Library of Medicine: Grant R21-LM009102

### **Anomaly Detection**

- Goal: Identify unusual patterns in data.
- Methods: from statistics and machine learning
- Contribution: <u>conditional</u> anomaly detection framework
- Application: medical error detection

#### **Conditional Anomaly**



 Patient electronic records have: demographics, conditions, labs, medications administered, procedures performed,...

#### **Conditional Anomaly**



Assumption: Anomalies correspond to medical errors *"Medical errors account for 200 000 preventable deaths a year."* (HealthGrades study, Wall Street Journal, July 27<sup>th</sup> 2004) Hauskrecht, Valko, Kveton, Visweswaram, Cooper (AMIA 2007)



Hauskrecht, Valko, Kveton, Visweswaram, Cooper (AMIA 2007)



## **Selecting Similar Patients**

- All other patients in the database
- Select only the closest patients
- What is a good distance metric?
  - Euclidean, Mahalanobis ...
    - don't take into the account the decision variables
- Learn the metric which puts patients with the similar decisions closer together.

Valko, Hauskrecht (FLAIRS 2008, to appear) 7

#### **Original Data**













#### **Learned Linear Projection**





## Learn Probabilistic Model

 Bayesian Network with Fixed structure



 Learn the Bayesian Network structure and parameters from the data





## Experiments

- PORT dataset (Kapoor 1996)
- Patients

   diagnosed with
   the community
   acquired
   pneumonia

Target attributes						
Img						
X <sub>1</sub> Hospitalization						
Prediction attributes						
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Demographic factors} \\ X_2 \qquad & \text{Age} > 50 \\ 1 = (\text{male} = \text{true}, \text{ female} = \text{false}) \end{array}$						
X <sub>3</sub> Gender (male						
Coexisting heart failure						
X <sub>4</sub> Congestive disease						
X <sub>5</sub> Cerebiovasedisease						
X <sub>6</sub> Neoplastic dist						
X <sub>7</sub> Renal disease						
X <sub>8</sub> Liver disease						
Physical-examination 125 / min						
$V_{0}$ Pulse $\geq 125 / \min_{125 \geq 30 / \min}$						
$V_{10}$ Respiratory rate $\geq 500$ mm Hg						
$V$ Systolic blood pressure $25^{\circ}$ C or $> 40^{\circ}$ C						
V Temperature < 35 Cor						
$A_{12}$ Laboratory and radiographic 30 mg / dl						
Blood urea nitrogen $\geq 50 \text{ ms}$						
$X_{13}$ Glucose $\geq 250 \text{ mg}/\text{ di}$						
$X_{14}$ Hematocrit $< 30\%$						
$X_{15}$ Sodium < 130 mmol / 1 Sodium < 60 mm H	g					
X <sub>16</sub> Solution Destial pressure of arternal oxygen						
$X_{17}$ Partial pH < 7.35						
X <sub>18</sub> Artenia pri	2222					
X <sub>19</sub> Pleural citat						

# Experiments

- 2287 patient cases
- 19 binary attributes
- 100 evaluated by the panel of three physicians
- 23 anomalies

Target attributes Hospitalization  $X_1$ Prediction attributes **Demographic factors** Gender (male = true, female = false) Age > 50 $X_2$  $X_3$ **Coexisting illnesses** Congestive heart failure Cerebrovascular disease  $X_4$ X5 Neoplastic disease  $X_6$ Renal disease  $X_7$ Liver disease Physical-examination findings X8 Pulse  $\geq$  125 / min Respiratory rate  $\geq$  30 / min Xo Systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg X10 Temperature  $< 35 \,^{\circ}$ C or  $\ge 40 \,^{\circ}$ C  $X_{11}$ Laboratory and radiographic findings X12 Blood urea nitrogen  $\geq$  30 mg / dl Glucose  $\geq$  250 mg / dl X13 Hematocrit < 30% X14 Sodium < 130 mmol / 1Partial pressure of arterial oxygen < 60 mm HgX15 X16 Arterial pH < 7.35  $X_{17}$  $X_{18}$ Pleural effusion  $X_{19}$ 

## Experiments

 Goal: Detect whether the decision of hospitalization is *anomalous*,
 conditioning on the description variables

Target attributes Hospitalization  $X_1$ Prediction attributes Demographic factors Gender (male = true, female = false) Age > 50 $X_2$ Coexisting illnesses  $X_3$ Congestive heart failure Cerebrovascular disease  $X_4$ Neoplastic disease  $X_5$  $X_6$ Renal disease  $X_7$ Liver disease Physical-examination findings  $X_8$ Pulse  $\geq$  125 / min Respiratory rate  $\geq$  30 / min Xg Systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg X10 Temperature  $< 35 \,^{\circ}$ C or  $\ge 40 \,^{\circ}$ C  $X_{11}$ Laboratory and radiographic findings  $X_{12}$ Blood urea nitrogen  $\ge 30$  mg / dl Glucose  $\geq 250 \text{ mg} / \text{dl}$  $X_{13}$ Hematocrit < 30%  $X_{14}$ Sodium < 130 mmol / 1Partial pressure of arterial oxygen < 60 mm Hg  $X_{15}$ X16 Arterial pH < 7.35 X17 Pleural effusion X18  $X_{19}$ 

## Evaluation

#### Algorithm catches many anomalies

- high sensitivity
- Algorithm's predictions are accurate
  - high specificity
- Combine sensitivity and specificity for various detection thresholds

#### Results

MODEL	METRIC	SELECTION	RESULT	
	any	ALL	11.6%	BASELINE
Naïve Bayes	Euclidean	CLOSEST 40	16.4%	
	Learned Metric	CLOSEST 40	16.8%	
Learn Bayes	any	ALL	13.8%	
, Network Structure	Euclidean	CLOSEST 40	17.8%	
and Parameters	Learned Metric	CLOSEST 40	26.4%	BEST

Conclusion: Two-fold improvement over baseline.

# Conclusion

Selection of closest patients

- Models tuned to the individual patient
- Metric learning
  - Lowers the influence of irrelevant data
- Structure learning
  - Gives more accurate representation of relation between the variables

## **Current/Future Work**

- Automatic population size selection
- Multiple decisions
- UPMC dataset of patients with cardiac surgery with **thousands** of records per patient
- Anomaly detection in time.





## Evaluation

IDEAL 100% Algorithm needs to have high specificity SENSITIVITY • Specificity >= 95% (at most 1 error in 20 alarms) Catch as many anomalies high sensitivity. 0% 95% 100% 0% SPECIFICITY

$$\|Ax_i - Ax_j\|^2$$

$$\sum_{j \in C_i} p_{ij}$$

## Learn Probabilistic Model

- Bayesian Network with Fixed structure
- Probabilities from metric



$$p_{ij} = \frac{\exp(-\|Ax_i - Ax_j\|^2)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp(-\|Ax_i - Ax_k\|^2)} , \qquad p_{ii} = 0$$

 Learn the Bayesian Network structure and parameters from the data



### Results

MODEL	METRIC	SELECTION	RESULT	
Naïve Bayes	any	ALL	11.6%	BASELINE
	Euclidean	CLOSEST 40	16.4%	
	Learned Metric	CLOSEST 40	16.8%	
Probability from the Distance Metric	Euclidean	ALL	8.0%	
	Euclidean	CLOSEST 40	8.0%	
	Learned Metric	ALL	18.0%	
	Learned Metric	CLOSEST 40	20.2%	
Learn Bayes Network Structure and Parameters	any	ALL	13.8%	
	Euclidean	CLOSEST 40	17.8%	
	Learned Metric	CLOSEST 40	26.4%	BEST

Conclusion: Two-fold improvement over baseline.