A SIMPLE DYNAMIC BANDIT ALGORITHM FOR HYPER-PARAMETER TUNING

Problem and Objectives

We treat the **hyper-parameter tuning** problem for *supervised learning* tasks.

- global optimisation task: $\min\{f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) : \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Omega\};$
- $f(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \triangleq \mathbb{E}\left[\ell\left(\mathbf{Y}, \widehat{g}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(n)}(\mathbf{X})\right)\right]$ measures the generalization power;

Our contribution: a simple, robust, (almost) parameter-free bandit algorithm.

How and Why

How?

We see the problem as *best arm identification* in a stochastic infinitely-armed bandit: arms' means are drawn from some reservoir distribution ν_0 .



In each round: \rightarrow (optional) query a new arm from ν_0 \rightarrow sample an arm that was previously queried

Goal: output an arm with mean close to μ^{\star}

D-TTTS \rightarrow a dynamic algorithm built on **TTTS** [1]

Why?

 \rightarrow TTTS is *anytime* for finitely-armed bandits

 \rightarrow the flexibility of this Bayesian algorithm allows to propose a **dynamic** version for the infinite BAI

 \rightarrow unlike previous approaches, **D-TTTS does not** need to fix the number of arms queried in advance, and naturally **adapts** to the difficulty of the task

BAI	HPO	
query ν_0	pick a new configuration $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\cdot}$	
sample an arm [.]	train the classifier g_{λ}	
reward	cross-validation loss	

HPO as a BAI problem

Xuedong Shang^{1,2}, Emilie Kaufmann,^{1,2,3} Michal Valko^{4,1} ¹Inria SequeL ²Univ. Lille ³CNRS ⁴DeepMind Paris

In the Context of BAI...

- Beta-Bernoulli Bayesian bandit model
- a uniform prior over the mean of new arms

Posterior distribution on arm i at time t:

 $Beta(1 + S_{t,i}, N_{t,i} - S_{t,i} + 1).$

D-TTTS principle: in each round, query a new arm endowed with a Beta(1,1) prior, without sampling *it*, and run **TTTS** on the new set of arms.

Implementation tricks

Binarization trick: When a reward $Y_{t,i} \in$ [0,1] is observed, the algorithm is updated with a fake binary reward $Y'_{t,i} \sim \text{Ber}(Y_{t,i}) \in \{0,1\}.$

Order statistic trick: with \mathcal{L}_{t-1} the list of arms that have been effectively sampled at time t, we run TTTS on the set $\mathcal{L}_{t-1} \cup \{\mu_0\}$ where μ_0 is a pseudo-arm with posterior $\text{Beta}(t - |\mathcal{L}_{t-1}|, 1)$.



We recommend the arm with the largest *posterior* probability of being optimal:

Results for HPO

whe

Figure: Posterior distributions of 4 arms and the pseudo-arm

Experimental Setting

Classifier	Hyper-parameter	Type	Bounds
SVM	C	\mathbb{R}^+	$[10^{-5}, 10^5]$
	$ \gamma $	\mathbb{R}^+	$[10^{-5}, 10^5]$
		TIAT	•

lable: hyper-parameters to be tuned for UCI experiments.

Classifier	Hyper-parameter	Type	Bounds
MLP	hidden_layer_size	Integer	[5, 50]
	alpha	\mathbb{R}^+	[0, 0.9]
	learning_rate_init	\mathbb{R}^+	$[10^{-5}, 10^{-1}]$

Table: hyper-parameters to be tuned for MNIST experiments.

Sampling Rule

1: Input: β 2: Initialization: $\mu_1 \sim \nu_0$; $\mathcal{A} = \{\mu_1\}$; m = 1; $S_1, N_1 = 0$ 3: while budget still available do 4: $\mu_{m+1} \sim \nu_0; \mathcal{A} \leftarrow \mathcal{A} \cup \{\mu_{m+1}\}$ 5: $S_{m+1}, N_{m+1} \leftarrow 0; m \leftarrow m+1$ 6: $\forall i \in \mathcal{A}, \theta_i \sim \text{Beta}(S_i + 1, N_i - S_i + 1)$ 7: $I^{(1)} = \operatorname{arg\,max}_{i=0,\ldots,m} \theta_i$ 8: **if** $U(\sim \mathcal{U}([0,1])) > \beta$ **then** while $I^{(2)} \neq I^{(1)}$ do 9: $\forall i \in \mathcal{A}, \theta'_i \sim \texttt{Beta}(S_i + 1, N_i - S_i + 1)$ 10: $I^{(2)} \leftarrow \arg \max_{i=0,\dots,m} \theta'_i$ 11: end while 12:13: $I^{(1)} \leftarrow I^{(2)}$ 14: **end if** 15: $Y \leftarrow \texttt{evaluate} \ \texttt{arm} \ I^{(1)}; \ X \sim \texttt{Ber}(Y)$ 16: $S_{I^{(1)}} \leftarrow S_{I^{(1)}} + X; N_{I^{(1)}} \leftarrow N_{I^{(1)}} + 1$

17: end while

Recommendation Rule

$$\widehat{I}_n \triangleq \arg \max_{i \in \mathcal{A}} \Pi_n(\Theta_i),$$

ere $\Theta_i \triangleq \{ \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta \mid \theta_i > \max_{j \neq i} \theta_j \}.$

→ Hyperband - TPE ---- Random Searc ---- H-TTTS — H-TTTS → D-TTTS - D-TTTS 30 40 50 60 70 80 10 15 Number of Iterations Number of Iterations (a) wine (b) breast cancer Hyperband Hyperband TPE Random Sear ---- Random Search 0.21 — H-TTTS - H-TTTS - D-TTTS - D-TTTS 0.20 0.19 60 80 100 Number of Iterations 40 60 Number of Iterations (d) MNIST (c) adult

ICLR, 2017.



Understanding the Algorithm

Adaptation to the difficulty: for a "difficult" reservoir, the pseudo-arm μ_0 is sampled more often (i.e. more arms are effectively sampled) \rightsquigarrow efficiently sampled arms for $Beta(\alpha, 1)$ reservoirs: lumber of arms pulled by i times

 \rightsquigarrow efficiently sampled arms for $Beta(1, \beta)$ reservoirs: Number of arms pulled by i times

voirs:





 \rightarrow efficiently sampled arms for shifted **Beta** reser-



References

[1] **TTTS**: D. Russo, Simple Bayesian algorithms for best arm *identification*. In CoLT, 2016.

[2] Hyperband: L. Li et al., Hyperband: Bandit-based configuration evaluation for hyperparameter optimization. In

