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## Motivation

- Kernel regression is versatile and accurate
- Strong accuracy guarantees but poor scalability


## $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ time $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ space ( $n$ number of samples)

- Current limitation: Many approximate schemes are either not scalable or not accurate
$\Rightarrow$ We propose an incremental approximation scheme for kernel regression with complexity and error guarantees depending on the kernel structure


## Kernel Ridge Regression (KRR)

## The setting (fixed-design)

- Dataset $\mathcal{D}=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{t}, y_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{n}$
arbitrary $\mathrm{x}_{t} \in \mathcal{X}$
$y_{t}=f^{*}\left(\mathbf{x}_{t}\right)+\eta_{t}$
- Kernel function $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Kernel matrix $\mathbf{K}_{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{t \times t}$, with $\left[\mathbf{K}_{t}\right]_{i, j}=\mathcal{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right), i, j \leq t$


## Kernel regression

- Objective (after $t$ samples)

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{t}=\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\arg \min }\left\|\mathbf{y}_{t}-\mathbf{K}_{t} \mathbf{w}\right\|^{2}+\boldsymbol{\mu}\|\mathbf{w}\|^{2} .
$$

- Closed-form solution
- On-sample risk

$$
\mathcal{R}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{t}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{\eta}\left[\| \| \mathbf{f}_{t}^{*}-\mathbf{K}_{t} \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{t} \|^{2}\right]
$$

## Nyström Approximation

## Subsampling

1 Select a subset (dictionary) $\mathcal{I}_{n}$ of $\boldsymbol{m}$ representative samples 2 Constructs a sparse matrix $\mathbf{S}_{n}$ to select and reweight the columns associated with the points in $\mathcal{I}_{n}$


Low-Rank Approximation
3 Compute approximate, low-rank matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n}=\mathbf{C W}^{-1} \mathbf{C}^{\top}$ as $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n}=\mathbf{C W}^{-1} \mathbf{C}^{\boldsymbol{\top}}=\mathbf{K}_{n} \mathbf{S}_{n}\left(\mathbf{S}_{n}^{\top} \mathbf{K}_{n} \mathbf{S}_{n}+\gamma \mathbf{I}_{m}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{n}^{\boldsymbol{\top}} \mathbf{K}_{n}$

$C^{\top}=\mathrm{S}_{n}^{\top} \mathrm{K}_{n}$

Efficient Solution
4 Compute approximate solution
$\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{n}=\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n}+\mu \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{n}=\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\mathbf{y}_{n}-\mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C}^{\boldsymbol{\top}} \mathbf{C}+\mu \mathbf{W}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{C}^{\boldsymbol{\top}} \mathbf{y}_{n}\right)$

## Scalability now depends on $m$

Space $\left.: n^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(n m), \quad$ Time $=\mathcal{O}\left(n m^{2}+m^{3}\right)$

## Problems:

? How to choose the sampling distribution?
How to choose $m$ ?
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Kernel Ridge Leverage Scores (RLS) Sampling for KRR


Definition 1. Given a kernel matrix $\mathbf{K}_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, define
$\gamma$-ridge leverage score
$\tau_{n, i}(\gamma)=\mathbf{e}_{n, i} \mathbf{K}_{n}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{K}_{n}+\gamma \mathbf{I}_{n}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{n, i}$
$=\phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)^{\top}\left(\phi\left(\mathbf{X}_{n}\right) \phi\left(\mathbf{X}_{n}\right)^{\top}+\gamma \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)$
effective dimension
$d_{e f f}(\gamma)_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{n, i}(\gamma)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{K}_{n}\left(\mathbf{K}_{n}+\gamma \mathbf{I}_{n}\right)^{-1}\right) \quad$ (2)
sampling distribution
$\left[\mathbf{p}_{n}\right]_{i}=p_{n, i}=\frac{\tau_{n, i}(\gamma)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_{n, j}(\gamma)}=\frac{\tau_{n, i}}{d_{\text {eff }}(\gamma)_{n}}$
Proposition 1 (Alaoui, Mahoney, 2015). Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ be the accuracy, $\delta$ the
confidence. If the regularized Nystrom approximation $\mathbf{K}_{n}$ is computed using the sampling distribution $\left\{p_{i, t}\right\}$, and at least

$$
m \geq\left(\frac{2 d_{e f f}(\gamma)_{n}}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \log \left(\frac{n}{\delta}\right)
$$

columns, then with probability $1-\delta$

$$
0 \preceq \mathbf{K}_{n}-\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{n} \preceq \frac{\gamma}{1-\varepsilon} \mathbf{I}_{n}, \quad \mathcal{R}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{n}\right) \leq\left(1+\frac{\gamma}{\mu} \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{2} \mathcal{R}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}\right)
$$

Intuitively: $\tau_{n, i}$ sensitivity of prediction on point $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ $\Rightarrow \widehat{y}_{n, i}=\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{K}_{n} \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{K}_{n}\left(\mathbf{K}_{n}+\mu \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{n}$


## SQUEAK

Lemma 1. Assume that the dictionary $\mathcal{I}_{t-1}$ induces a $\gamma$-approx. $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{t-1}$, and let $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_{t}$ be constructed by adding $\bar{q}$ copies of $(\bar{q})^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{e}_{t, t}$ to the selection matrix. Then, denoting $\alpha=(1+\varepsilon) /(1-\varepsilon)$, for all $i$ such that $i \in\left\{\mathcal{I}_{t-1} \cup\{t\}\right\}$,

$$
\widetilde{\tau}_{t, i}=\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\alpha \gamma}\left(k_{i, i}-\mathbf{k}_{t, i} \overline{\mathbf{S}}\left(\overline{\mathbf{S}}^{\top} \mathbf{K}_{t} \overline{\mathbf{S}}+\gamma \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{S}}^{\top} \mathbf{k}_{t, i}\right),
$$

is an $\alpha$-approximation of the $R L S \tau_{t, i}$, that is $\tau_{t, i}(\gamma) / \alpha \leq \widetilde{\tau}_{t, i} \leq \tau_{t, i}(\gamma)$.

## SQUEAK

Input: Dataset $\mathcal{D}$, regularization $\gamma, \mu, \bar{q}$
Output: $\mathbf{K}_{n}, \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{n}$
1: Initialize $\mathcal{I}_{0}$ as empty, $\widetilde{p}_{1,0}=1$
2. for $t=1, \ldots, n$ do

Receive new column $\left[\overline{\mathbf{k}}_{t}, k_{t}\right]$
Compute $\alpha$-app. RLS $\left.\left\{\widetilde{\tau}_{t, i}\right]: i \in \mathcal{I}_{t-1} \cup\{t\}\right\}$, using $\mathcal{I}_{t-1},\left[\overline{\mathbf{k}}_{t}, k_{t}\right]$, and Eq. 4 Set $\widetilde{p}_{t, i}=\max \left\{\min \left\{\tilde{\tau}_{t, i}, \widetilde{p}_{t-1, i}\right\}, \tilde{p}_{t-1, i} / 2\right\}$
6: Initialize $\mathcal{I}_{t}=\emptyset$

\section*{| 7: | for |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8: |  |}

$$
\begin{array}{r}
9: \\
10: \\
11: \\
12:
\end{array}
$$

10:
$11:$
12:
10
12: $\quad$ end if
13:
14: $\quad \overline{Q_{t, t} \sim \mathcal{B}\left(\widetilde{p}_{t, t}, \bar{q}\right)}$
$\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\text { 15: } & \text { Add } Q_{t, t} \text { copies of }\left(t, \mathbf{k}_{t, t}, \widetilde{p}_{t, t}\right) \text { to } \mathcal{I}_{t} \\ \text { 16: } & \text { Compute } \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}^{\text {13sing }} \mathcal{I}_{n} \text { and } \widetilde{\mathrm{w}}_{t} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{t, \mathbf{v}_{t}}\end{array}\right\}$
17: end for

- $\widetilde{\tau}_{t, i}=\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{t}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{t}+\gamma \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ would fail
- Instead, approximate $\tau_{t, i}$ directly in RKHS $\widetilde{\tau}_{t, i}=\phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)^{\top}\left(\phi\left(\mathbf{X}_{t}\right) \overline{\mathbf{S S}}^{\top} \phi\left(\mathbf{X}_{t}\right)^{\top}+\gamma \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)$ and then reformulate using kernel trick
- $\widetilde{\tau}_{t, i}$ can be computed in $\mathcal{O}\left(\left|\mathcal{I}_{t}\right|^{2}\right)$ space and $\mathcal{O}\left(\left|\mathcal{I}_{t}\right|^{3}\right)$ time, independent from $t$.
- $\widetilde{\tau}_{t, i}$ for samples in $\mathcal{I}_{t}$ can be computed using only samples contained in $\mathcal{I}_{t}$.
- $\alpha$ trades off accuracy and space/time cost
- The formulation of $\widetilde{\tau}_{t, i}$ is not incremental

Proposition 2. For any kernel matrix $\mathbf{K}_{t-1}$ and its bordering $\mathbf{K}_{t}$,
$\tau_{t, i} \leq \tau_{t-1, i}, \quad d_{\text {eff }}(\gamma)_{t} \geq d_{\text {eff }}(\gamma)_{t-1}$.


Theorem 1. Let $\alpha=\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)$ and $\gamma>1$. For any $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, and $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$, if
we run SQUEAK with $\bar{q}=\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon^{2}} \log \left(\frac{n}{\delta}\right)\right)$, then w.p. $1-\bar{\delta}$, for all $t \in[n]$
(1) $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{t}$ computed with $\mathcal{I}_{t}$ is a $\gamma$-approximation of $\mathbf{K}_{t}$.
(2) $\left|\mathcal{I}_{t}\right|=\sum_{i} Q_{t, i} \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\bar{q} d_{e f f}(\gamma)_{t}\right) \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon^{2}} \boldsymbol{d}_{\text {eff }}(\gamma)_{n} \log \left(\frac{n}{\delta}\right)\right)$.
(3) The solution $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}$ satisfies $\mathcal{R}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{t}\right) \leq\left(1+\frac{\gamma}{\mu} \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{R}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{t}\right)$.

|  | Time | Space | Acc. loss | Inc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exact | $n^{3}$ | $n^{2}$ | 1 | / |
| Bach'13 | $\frac{n d_{\text {max }}{ }^{2}+d_{\text {max }}{ }^{3}}{\varepsilon}$ | $\frac{n d_{\text {max }}}{\varepsilon}$ | $(1+4 \varepsilon)$ | No |
| A\&M'15 | $n(\text { space })^{2}$ | $\left(\frac{\lambda_{\min }+n \mu \varepsilon}{\lambda_{\min }-n \mu \varepsilon}\right) n d_{\mathrm{eff}}+\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{K}_{n}\right)}{\mu \varepsilon}$ | $(1+2 \varepsilon)^{2}$ | No |
| INK (C\&al'16) | $\rho^{2} n^{2} d_{\text {eff }}{ }^{2}$ | $\frac{\rho \text { nd } d_{\text {eff }}}{\varepsilon}$ | $(1+2 \varepsilon)^{2}$ | Yes |
| SQUEAK | $\frac{n^{2} d_{\text {of }}{ }^{\text {a }}}{\varepsilon^{2}}$ | $\frac{e_{\text {dff }}}{\varepsilon_{\text {efe }}}$ | $(1+2 \varepsilon)^{2}$ | Yes |

## Pros:

Accuracy and space/time guarantees

+ Unnormalized $\widetilde{p}_{t, i}$, no need for appr. $d_{\text {eff }}(\gamma)$ In worst case, only $\log (n)$ space overhead Anytime risk guarantees


## Cons:

The time bottleneck is computing intermediate KRR solutions: $\mathcal{O}\left(t\left|\mathcal{I}_{t}\right|^{2}\right)$.

Still potentially constructs the whole matrix to compute KRR, single pass over marix but not dataset

## Beyond sequential KRR

What if we run SQUEAK simply to approximate $\mathbf{K}_{n}$ ?

- Only need to compute RLS for points in $\mathcal{I}_{t}$, never recompute after dropping
$\longrightarrow$ Never construct the whole $\mathbf{K}_{n}$, subquadratic runtime $\left.{ }^{2} \mid \mathcal{I}_{n}{ }^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(n\left|\mathcal{I}_{n}\right|^{3}\right)$
- Store points directly in the dictionary
$\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(d_{\text {eff }}(\gamma)_{n}^{2}+d_{\text {eff }}(\gamma)_{n} d\right)$ space constant in $n$, single pass over the dataset (streaming)
- Extend Dict-Update (add point to dictionary) to Dict-Merge (add dictionary to dictionary)
$\rightarrow$ Distributed SQUEAK, multiple nodes operate in parallel, without sharing memory recursively merge result to build final dictionary, $\mathcal{O}\left(\log (n)\left|\mathcal{I}_{n}\right|^{3}\right)$ time, $\mathcal{O}\left(n\left|\mathcal{I}_{n}\right|^{3}\right)$ work
- RLS sampling preserves well the projection on $\mathbf{K}_{n}$ 's range
$\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{K}_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbf{K}_{n}+\gamma \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{n}^{1 / 2}=\phi\left(\mathbf{X}_{n}\right)^{\boldsymbol{\top}}\left(\phi\left(\mathbf{X}_{n}\right) \phi\left(\mathbf{X}_{n}\right)^{\boldsymbol{\top}}+\gamma \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \phi\left(\mathbf{X}_{n}\right)$
$\longrightarrow$ SQUEAK provides strong guarantees for many Kernel problems (random/fixed design KRR, Kernel PCA, Kernel k-means)



