Pack only the essentials: Adaptive dictionary learning for kernel ridge regression



Daniele Calandriello, Alessandro Lazaric, Michal Valko

Motivation

Kernel regression is *versatile* and *accurate* Strong accuracy guarantees but *poor scalability*

 $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ time $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ space (*n* number of samples)

- Current limitation: Many approximate schemes are either not scalable or not accurate
- \Rightarrow We propose an incremental approximation scheme for kernel regression with *complexity and error guarantees* depending on the *kernel structure*

Kernel Ridge Regression (KRR)

The setting (fixed-design)

- Dataset $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}_t, y_t\}_{t=1}^n$
 - arbitrary $\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathcal{X}$

Kernel Ridge Leverage Scores (RLS) Sampling for KRR





Proposition 1 (Alaoui, Mahoney, 2015). Let ε be the accuracy, δ the confidence. If the regularized Nystrom approximation $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_n$ is computed using the sampling distribution $\{p_{i,t}\}$, and at least



Intuitively: $\tau_{n,i}$ sensitivity of prediction on point \mathbf{x}_i $\Rightarrow \widehat{y}_{n,i} = \mathbf{e}_i^\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{K}_n \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_n) = \mathbf{e}_i^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{K}_n (\mathbf{K}_n + \mu \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y}_n$

```
Pros: + m scales with the effective dimension
+ the risk for \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_n is almost the same as
for the exact solution
```

- $y_t = f^*(\mathbf{x}_t) + \eta_t$
- Kernel function $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$
- Kernel matrix $\mathbf{K}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{t \times t}$, with $[\mathbf{K}_t]_{i,j} = \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j), i, j \leq t$

Kernel regression

- Objective (after t samples) $\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_t = \arg\min \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{K}_t \mathbf{w}\|^2 + \boldsymbol{\mu} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2.$
- Closed-form solution

 $\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_t = (\mathbf{K}_t + \mu \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y}_t$

► On-sample risk

 $\mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_t) = \mathbb{E}_{\eta} \left[\|\mathbf{f}_t^* - \mathbf{K}_t \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_t\|^2 \right]$

Nyström Approximation

Subsampling

- 1 Select a subset (dictionary) \mathcal{I}_n of $oldsymbol{m}$ representative samples
- 2 Constructs a sparse matrix S_n to select and reweight the columns associated with the points in \mathcal{I}_n



$$\mathbf{0} \preceq \mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{n}} - \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \preceq \frac{\gamma}{1 - \varepsilon} \mathbf{I}_{\boldsymbol{n}}, \qquad \mathcal{R}(\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\boldsymbol{n}}) \leq \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\mu} \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}\right)^2 \mathcal{R}(\widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{\boldsymbol{n}})$$

Cons: - computing $\tau_{n,i}(\mu)$ is as difficult as solving the original problem - the probabilities need be **recomputed at any new sample** (=multipass)

SQUEAK

Lemma 1. Assume that the dictionary \mathcal{I}_{t-1} induces a γ -approx. $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{t-1}$, and let $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_t$ be constructed by adding \overline{q} copies of $(\overline{q})^{-1/2}\mathbf{e}_{t,t}$ to the selection matrix. Then, denoting $\alpha = (1 + \varepsilon)/(1 - \varepsilon)$, for all i such that $i \in \{\mathcal{I}_{t-1} \cup \{t\}\},\$

$$\widetilde{\tau}_{t,i} = \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\alpha\gamma} \left(k_{i,i} - \mathbf{k}_{t,i} \overline{\mathbf{S}} \left(\overline{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K}_t \overline{\mathbf{S}} + \gamma \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{k}_{t,i} \right),\,$$

is an α -approximation of the RLS $\tau_{t,i}$, that is $\tau_{t,i}(\gamma)/\alpha \leq \tilde{\tau}_{t,i} \leq \tau_{t,i}(\gamma)$.

SQUEAK

- **Input:** Dataset \mathcal{D} , regularization γ, μ , \overline{q}
- **Output:** $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_n$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_n$
- 1: Initialize \mathcal{I}_0 as empty, $\widetilde{p}_{1,0}=1$
- 2: for t = 1, ..., n do
- 3: Receive new column $[\overline{\mathbf{k}}_t, k_t]$

for all $j \in \{1, ..., t-1\}$ do

- 4: Compute α -app. RLS $\{\widetilde{\tau}_{t,i} : i \in \mathcal{I}_{t-1} \cup \{t\}\}$, using \mathcal{I}_{t-1} , $[\overline{\mathbf{k}}_t, k_t]$, and Eq. 4
- 5: Set $\widetilde{p}_{t,i} = \max \{\min \{\widetilde{\tau}_{t,i}, \ \widetilde{p}_{t-1,i}\}, \ \widetilde{p}_{t-1,i}/2\}$
- 6: Initialize $\mathcal{I}_t = \emptyset$

7:

$\blacktriangleright \widetilde{\tau}_{t,i} = \mathbf{e}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_t (\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_t + \gamma \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{e}_i \text{ would fail}$

- ► Instead, approximate $\tau_{t,i}$ directly in RKHS $\widetilde{\tau}_{t,i} = \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)^{\mathsf{T}} (\phi(\mathbf{X}_t) \mathbf{\overline{SS}}^{\mathsf{T}} \phi(\mathbf{X}_t)^{\mathsf{T}} + \gamma \mathbf{I})^{-1} \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)$ and then reformulate using kernel trick
- (4) $\succ \widetilde{\tau}_{t,i}$ can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{I}_t|^2)$ space and $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{I}_t|^3)$ time, independent from t.
 - $\widetilde{\tau}_{t,i}$ for samples in \mathcal{I}_t can be computed using only samples contained in \mathcal{I}_t .
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \alpha$ trades off accuracy and space/time cost
 - ▶ The formulation of $\tilde{\tau}_{t,i}$ is not incremental

Proposition 2. For any kernel matrix \mathbf{K}_{t-1} and its bordering \mathbf{K}_t ,

 $\tau_{t,i} \leq \tau_{t-1,i}, \quad d_{eff}(\gamma)_t \geq d_{eff}(\gamma)_{t-1}.$



Pros:

- + Accuracy and space/time guarantees
- + Unnormalized $\widetilde{p}_{t,i}$, no need for appr. $d_{\text{eff}}(\gamma)_t$
- + In worst case, only $\log(n)$ space overhead
- + Anytime risk guarantees

Cons:

- The time bottleneck is computing intermediate KRR solutions: $O(t|\mathcal{I}_t|^2)$.
- Still potentially constructs the whole matrix to compute KRR, single pass over matrix but not dataset.

Scalability now depends on *m*

 $\mathsf{Space}: rac{\mathcal{O}(n^2)}{\mathcal{O}(n^2)} \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(nm), \quad \mathsf{Time}: rac{\mathcal{O}(n^3)}{\mathcal{O}(n^3)} \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(nm^2 + m^3)$

Problems:? How to choose the sampling distribution?? How to choose m?

References

- [Alaoui and Mahoney(2015)] A. El Alaoui and M. W. Mahoney. Fast randomized kernel methods with statistical guarantees. In *NIPS*, 2015.
- [Bach(2013)] F. Bach. Sharp analysis of low-rank kernel matrix approximations. In *International Conference on Learning Theory*, 2013.
- [Calandriello et al.(2016)] D. Calandriello, A. Lazaric, and M. Valko. Analysis of NystrÃűm method with sequential ridge leverage scores. In UAI, 2016.
- [Rudi et al.(2015)] A. Rudi, R. Camoriano, and L. Rosasco. Less is more: Nyström computational regularization. In *NIPS*, 2015.

Beyond sequential KRR

- What if we run SQUEAK simply to approximate \mathbf{K}_n ?
- ▶ Only need to compute RLS for points in \mathcal{I}_t , never recompute after dropping
 - → Never construct the whole \mathbf{K}_n , subquadratic runtime $\frac{\mathcal{O}(n^2 |\mathcal{I}_n|^2)}{\mathcal{O}(n |\mathcal{I}_n|^3)}$
- ► Store points directly in the dictionary
- **Extend** DICT-UPDATE (add point to dictionary) to DICT-MERGE (add dictionary to dictionary)
 - → Distributed SQUEAK, multiple nodes operate in parallel, without sharing memory recursively merge result to build final dictionary, $\mathcal{O}(\log(n)|\mathcal{I}_n|^3)$ time, $\mathcal{O}(n|\mathcal{I}_n|^3)$ work

\blacktriangleright RLS sampling preserves well the projection on \mathbf{K}_n 's range

 $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{K}_n^{1/2} (\mathbf{K}_n + \gamma \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{K}_n^{1/2} = \phi(\mathbf{X}_n)^{\mathsf{T}} (\phi(\mathbf{X}_n)\phi(\mathbf{X}_n)^{\mathsf{T}} + \gamma \mathbf{I})^{-1} \phi(\mathbf{X}_n)$

↓ SQUEAK provides strong guarantees for many Kernel problems (random/fixed design KRR, Kernel PCA, Kernel k-means)

